

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/007,385	01/15/1998	HSIEN- JUE CHU	0632/0D916 4879	
32801	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 5257			TURNER, SHARON L	
NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/007,385	CHU, HSIEN- JUE				
_	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharon L. Turner	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2004 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper repict of the caption is a proper rep	ply to a			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 July 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY f	to issues which wer	re newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,5-8,16,18-21,23 and 24.</u>						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
	SHARON L.TURNER, P PATENT EXAMINER					
	10-404	···				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/007,385

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: While Applicant's response of 9-14-04 does not propose new changes to the claims, Applicant's response attempts to introduce new arguments and evidence into the record which arguments and evidence were not previously made of record and which necessitates further search and consideration after final. Such further seach and consideration does not simiplify matters for appeal.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The response of 9-14-04 has not been entered. All rejections are maintained for the same reasons of record. The Examiner suggests filing of an RCE for consideration of the new evidence and arguments for which Applicant's seek consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Examiner notes the inadvertent omission of claim 17 and the status of claim 17 as canceled. Claim 17 was canceled in the amendment of 3-13-02...

SHARON L. TURNER, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER

10-4-04